



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/671,636	09/27/2000	William B. Dress JR.	19867-747	5755

21971 7590 11/19/2003

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
650 PAGE MILL ROAD
PALO ALTO, CA 943041050

EXAMINER

PATHAK, SUDHANSHU C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2634

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/671,636

Applicant(s)

DRESS ET AL.

Examiner

Sudhanshu C. Pathak

Art Unit

2634

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on September 27th 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 25-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-to-34 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15, 25-34, drawn to a method of transmission, classified in class 375, subclass 131 & 133.
 - II. Claims 16-18, drawn to a frequency synthesizer, classified in class 375, subclass 344 & 376.
 - III. Claims 19-24, drawn to balance modulator, classified in class 375, subclass 146 & 295.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I, II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)).

In this case the invention as described in Claims 1-15, 25-34 is a method of signal transmission comprising overlapping a plurality of direct-sequence (DS) spread spectrum (SS) signals using carrier frequencies that are orthogonally spaced relative to an integral multiple of a bit rate. Claims 16-18 describe an apparatus comprising a frequency synthesizer coupled to a PN code generator. Furthermore Claims 19-24 also describe an apparatus comprising a PN code

generator coupled to a coincidence gate, coupled to a XOR gate further coupled to a balanced modulator. The apparatuses claims do not describe the method step being implemented, furthermore it is possible to implement the method claims using a different apparatuses. It is also possible to use the apparatuses as described in groups-II & III to implement another method different from the one described in group-I. The apparatus described in group-II is distinct and independent to the apparatus as described in group-III, and can function independent of each other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with John J. Bruckner on November 7th, 2003 a provisional election was made to elect with traverse to prosecute the invention of a method of transmission comprising overlapping a plurality of DS-SS signals using carrier frequencies that are orthogonally spaced relative to an integral multiple of a bit rate, claims 1-15 & 25-34. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16-18 & 19-24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 9 describes a transmitting method to include a step of establishing a bit clock synchronization, multiplying an incoming signal by the estimate of the desired signal, and integrating a product over an integral multiple of a bit period, this is not described in the specification as a method step of the transmitter, furthermore the specification describes the functions as described in the method step to be performed in the receiver (Page 13, lines 10-13 & Page 14 & Page 15, lines 1-7). It is suggested that the claim be specified to represent a method process for receiving the transmitted signal for the communication process described in the specification.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 12-15 are hybrid claims. The claims describe an apparatus(s) performing functions as described in the method claim 1. The claims should either describe an

apparatus for a particular function or a method for carry out a process. An apparatus claim should not be dependent on a method claim. It is suggested that the above-specified claims be written as multiple independent claims with each claim specifying either a method or an apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 7, 10, 27, 28 & 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jung-yeol Oh et al. ("The bandwidth efficiency increasing method of multi-carrier CDMA and its performance evaluation in comparison with DS-CDMA with rake receiver", Vehicular Technology Conference, May 16-20, 1999, Pg. 561-565).

Regarding to Claim 1, 10, 27, 28 & 32-34, Oh discloses a method for signal transmission based on the Multi-Carrier CDMA (MC-CDMA), which is further based on a combination of Direct-Sequence (DS-CDMA) and orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) (Introduction, Pg. 561, lines 1-6). Oh further discloses that the technique to transmit data on multiple parallel streams that are modulated on different subcarriers which are orthogonally spaced to each other (Introduction, Pg. 561, lines 7-10). Furthermore, Oh disclose that the overlapping plurality of spread-spectrum signals have carrier frequencies that are an integral multiple of the data

Art Unit: 2634

symbol rate (The concept of MC-CDMA, Pg. 562, lines 5-8 & Fig. 4, Equ. 10). Oh also discloses a scheme that transmits only the half of symbol during one symbol duration and the subcarrier spacing is an integral sub-multiple of the data symbol rate (Fig. 8(b), Pg. 564), Oh further discloses this sub-multiple to be one-half the data symbol rate (Fig. 5, Pg. 563). Oh discloses a multi-carrier CDMA system employing a combination of CDMA and OFDM (Introduction, Pg. 561, lines 1-10) wherein a multiple users could transmit the same one of the said plurality of DS-CDMA signals but with orthogonal PN-sequence as in a CDMA system, so as to retransmit on of plurality of DS-CDMA signals but a different PN sequence (Fig. 6 & Equ. 9, Pg. 563).

Regarding to Claim 7, Oh discloses that the data bits are converted from a serial-to-parallel format before synchronously allocating each of the plurality of users to one of a plurality of orthogonal channels (The concept of MC-CDMA, Pg. 561, lines 10-11 & Fig. 1, Fig. 3, Fig. 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 2, 3, 6 & 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung-yeol Oh et al. ("The bandwidth efficiency increasing method of multi-carrier CDMA and its performance evaluation in comparison with DS-CDMA with

rake receiver", Vehicular Technology Conference, May 16-20, 1999, Pg. 561-565) in view of Li Enjia et al. ("The study of FH/MCFD/SSMA/DPSK wireless communications system", International Conference on Communications Technology, ICCT'98, Oct. 22-24, 1998, Pg. S18-06-1 – S18-06-5).

Regarding to Claims 2, 3 & 6, Oh discloses a method for signal transmission based on the Multi-Carrier CDMA (MC-CDMA), which is further based on a combination of Direct-Sequence (DS-CDMA) and orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) (Introduction, Pg. 561, lines 1-6) as described above. However, Oh does not specify the encoding of data bits of the said plurality of direct-sequence spread-spectrum signals.

Enjia discloses a scheme of frequency-hopping/multiple-carrier frequency-diversity spread-spectrum multiple-access (FH/MCFD/SSMA/DPSK) wireless communication system (Abstract, lines 1-3). Enjia further discloses differentially encoding the data bits before frequency hopping or PN-spreading the data (Fig. 1(a), Page S18-06-3 & Equ. 1, Page S18-06-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that by implementing the differential encoder as described in Enjia into the MC-CDMA system describe by Oh the data bits would be further protected from channel interference thus providing a more reliable communications link.

9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung-yeol Oh et al. ("The bandwidth efficiency increasing method of multi-carrier CDMA and its performance evaluation in comparison with DS-CDMA with rake receiver",

Application/Control Number: 09/671,636
Art Unit: 2634

Vehicular Technology Conference, May 16-20, 1999, Pg. 561-565) in view of Haines (5,469,469).

Regarding to Claim 4, Oh discloses a method for signal transmission based on the Multi-Carrier CDMA (MC-CDMA), which is further based on a combination of Direct-Sequence (DS-SS) and orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) (Introduction, Pg. 561, lines 1-6) as described above. However, Oh does not specify the frequency-hopping modulation is performed in a continuous-phase manner.

Haines discloses a CDMA (spread-spectrum) modulator and demodulator using plurality of frequencies and chipping code (Abstract, lines 1-14). Haines further discloses selecting a chipping sequence from among a plurality of orthogonal sequences, and further comprising a phase shift key dimension on orthogonal subcarriers (Abstract, lines 1-14 & Column 2, lines 62-67). Furthermore, Haines discloses that any linear modulation techniques such as continuous phase modulation can be used in the DS-SS in combination with other techniques (Column 3, lines 17-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that implementing a frequency-hopping modulation is continuous-phase manner in the system as described by Oh would greatly simplify the synchronization and receiving of the transmitted MC-CDMA signal in the receiver, thus reducing the complexity of the receiver.

10. Claims 5, 30 & 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung-yeol Oh et al. ("The bandwidth efficiency increasing method of multi-

carrier CDMA and its performance evaluation in comparison with DS-CDMA with rake receiver", Vehicular Technology Conference, May 16-20, 1999, Pg. 561-565) in view of Azad et al. ("Multirate Spread Spectrum Direct Sequence CDMA techniques", IEE Colloquium on Spread Spectrum Technique, 15 April, 1994, Pg. 4/1-4/5).

Regarding to Claims 5, 30 & 31, Oh discloses a method for signal transmission based on the Multi-Carrier CDMA (MC-CDMA), which is further based on a combination of Direct-Sequence (DS-CDMA) and orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) (Introduction, Pg. 561, lines 1-6) as described above. However Oh does not specify the system comprising time-hopping encoding the plurality of DS-CDMA signals.

Azad discloses a multiple access schemes in regards to DS-CDMA wireless system to support a high quality of service and data rates depending on the desired applications (Introduction, Pg. 4/1, lines 1-24). Azad further discloses a (TDM/CDMA) wherein a frame is divided into several time slots and then the signals, which have been spread, are transmitted during these time slots, thus time-hopping encoding said plurality of DS-spread spectrum signals (TDM/CDMA, Pg. 4/2, lines 1-11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that to encode the DS-spread spectrum signals of the system disclosed in Oh, by the scheme described in Azad would expand the channel capacity of the system. Furthermore by combining the OFDM (FH) as disclosed in

Oh and the time-hopping as disclosed in Azad would further increase the channel capacity and minimize the interference per channel.

11. Claim 8, 25 & 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung-yeol Oh et al. ("The bandwidth efficiency increasing method of multi-carrier CDMA and its performance evaluation in comparison with DS-CDMA with rake receiver", Vehicular Technology Conference, May 16-20, 1999, Pg. 561-565) in view of Yun (6,243,397).

Regarding to Claim 8, Oh discloses a method for signal transmission based on the Multi-Carrier CDMA (MC-CDMA), which is further based on a combination of Direct-Sequence (DS-CDMA) and orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) (Introduction, Pg. 561, lines 1-6) as described above. However, Oh does not specify encoding a frequency shift in a subset of bits that compose a code word.

Yun discloses a parallel combinatory code division multiple access (PC-CDMA) system that transmits data by applying a predetermined PN code corresponding to a plurality of data bits in a multi-carrier (MC-) CDMA wireless system (Abstract, lines 1-5). Yun discloses a system comprising a plurality of mappers for converting a plurality of data bits into PN codes corresponding to the data values to spread the transmitting data further corresponding to frequency signals to transmit the CDMA signals (Column 2, lines 53-67 & Fig. 3(a) & Column 4, lines 25-63). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that it is possible to group the bits from the serial-to-parallel as described in Yun, into the

system as described in Oh, and encode the frequency shift in a subset of bits that compose a PN code word thus satisfying the limitation of the claim.

Regarding to Claim 25 & 26, Oh discloses a method for signal transmission based on the Multi-Carrier CDMA (MC-CDMA), which is further based on a combination of Direct-Sequence (DS-CDMA) and orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) (Introduction, Pg. 561, lines 1-6) as described above. However Oh does not disclose a computer program and a computer-readable medium for implementing the steps for overlapping a plurality of DS-CDMA signals using carrier frequencies that are orthogonally spaced relative to the integral multiple of a bit rate when the said program is run.

Yun discloses a parallel combinatory code division multiple access (PC-CDMA) system that transmits data by applying a predetermined PN code corresponding to a plurality of data bits in a multi-carrier (MC-) CDMA wireless system (Abstract, lines 1-5). Yun also discloses the system to comprise a digital signal processor (DSP) and a storing medium for storing the PN-code data and for generating a plurality of frequency signals for frequency modulation orthogonal relative to an integral data symbol through an algorithm (Column 3, lines 1-11 & Column 5, lines 17-33). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the algorithm for overlapping a plurality of DS-CDMA signals using carrier frequencies that are orthogonally spaced relative to the integral multiple of a bit rate as described in Oh on a DSP and store the algorithm in the storing means as described in Yun so as to have the system run independently,

continuously and on on-demand basis for all the signals to be transmitted within the communication system.

12. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jungyeol Oh et al. ("The bandwidth efficiency increasing method of multi-carrier CDMA and its performance evaluation in comparison with DS-CDMA with rake receiver", Vehicular Technology Conference, May 16-20, 1999, Pg. 561-565) in view of Natali (5,623,487).

Regarding to Claim 11, Oh discloses a method for signal transmission based on the Multi-Carrier CDMA (MC-CDMA), which is further based on a combination of Direct-Sequence (DS-CDMA) and orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) (Introduction, Pg. 561, lines 1-6) as described above. However, Oh does not specify implementing an error-correction code on the DS-CDMA signal.


Natali discloses a orthogonal code, multi-carrier CDMA wireless system providing at least one base station and a plurality of subscriber terminals, employing orthogonal code and additional carriers with orthogonal frequency spacing for additional capacity producing a doubly orthogonal code and FDMA communication system (Column 2, lines 30-42). Natali further discloses employing forward error correction (FEC) and interleaving in the doubly orthogonal FDMA communication depending on the application (Column 3, lines 4-10). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that implementing the forward error correction as described in Natali in the MC-CDMA system as described in Oh would maintain the integrity of the data transmitted in

Application/Control Number: 09/671,636
Art Unit: 2634

undesirable channel conditions by providing a more reliable communication link between the base station and the subscriber terminals.

13. It is recommended to the applicant to amend all the claims so as to be patentable over the prior art of record. A detailed list of pertinent references is included with this Office Action (See Attached "Notice of References Cited" (PTO-892) & "IDS" (PTO-1449)).
14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhanshu C. Pathak whose telephone number is (703)-305-0341. The examiner can normally be reached (Monday-Friday) from 8:30 AM to 5:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached at: (703) 305-4714. Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C., 20231
Or faxed to: (703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)
Hand-delivered responses should be brought to: Crystal Park II
2121 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

November 10, 2003
Sudhanshu C. Pathak – Examiner Art Unit 2634


STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600